

# Wear behavior of Aluminium Metal Matrix Composite with Silicon Carbide used for Brake Pads under Dry Friction Condition

Mr. A. V. Purkar<sup>1</sup>, Prof. Dr. E.R. Deore<sup>2</sup>

PG Student, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, SSVPS, COE, Dhule, Maharashtra, India<sup>1</sup>

Professor& H.O.D, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, SSVPS, COE, Dhule, Maharashtra, India<sup>2</sup>

Abstract: In this paper, the wear behavior of Aluminium Metal Matrix Composite with Silicon Carbide used for Brake Pads under Dry Friction Condition is to be studied. The percentage of silicon carbide is varies from 4 to 13 in four steps. The tribological behavior of composites was investigated by pin on disc apparatus. Percentage reinforcement, load, sliding speed and sliding distance were taken as the process variable. The parameters are set for different levels and in optimum possible combination by Taguchi experimentation design array. Wear rate is obtained as a response of experimentation and then further analyzed in design expert software. Parametric relation is developed in the form of equation for each material composition. At the end all three materials are compared on the basis of wear rate and coefficient of friction. As graphical representation is the most user friendly way of interpretation of statistical data, three-dimensional graphs comparing wear rate of all three materials simultaneously under the influence of individual parameters namely load, sliding distance and sliding velocity are given in results. Conclusions of the present work are, as load and sliding distance increases wear rate also increase, and as the velocity of sliding increases wear rate slightly decrease. Material composition is the major factor influencing the wear rate of brake pad, as the wear rate of all four material are different which is shown in paper in tabulated form. The increase in percentage of silicon carbide increases the wear resistance.

**Keywords:** wear rate, aluminium metal matrix, SiC, pin on disc apparatus, and design expert software.

## I. INTRODUCTION

metal, ceramic or organic compounds. They are made by for brake pad is very important part of a braking system. dispersing the reinforcements in the metal matrix. Brake pad material should have (1) High Coefficient of Reinforcements are usually done to improve the properties friction (2) Good thermal conductivity (3) minimum wear of the base metal like strength, stiffness, conductivity, etc. Aluminium and its alloys have attracted most attention as base metal in metal matrix composites.

Aluminium & its alloys are widely used in industries especially in automobile & aerospace industry due to its good mechanical properties like low density, corrosion resistance as compared to conventional metal & alloys. Among the materials of tribological importance, Aluminium metal matrix composites have received extensive attention for practical as well as fundamental reasons. Aluminium alloys and aluminum-based metal matrix composites have found applications in the manufacture of various automotive engine components. Weight reducing in rapid moving parts of automobile engines such as Crankshaft, connect rod, rotor disc brake to a reduction of the weight and wear.

A brake can stop or slow down a moving vehicle by converting kinetic energy into friction heat. A Disc brake is sliding friction couple made of rotor (disc) connected to the wheel and a stator on which the friction material (say brake pad) is mounted. The friction causes wear of Brake pads.

The brake pad is characterized by the frictional behavior brake pads are always subjected to wear. The result can be

Metal matrix composites are metals reinforced with other dangerous if the brake fails. Therefore, the material used rate (4) Good wear resistance when subjected to heavy loads and high speeds [1].

The Disc against which a brake pad slides can be Ferrous or Nonferrous, two have different effects of wear rate of brake pads [2]. To find the wear rate characteristics of different compositions of brake pad materials sliding against the same disc, a pin-on-disc setup is ideal. Pins of all four material compositions are made and tested by designing an experiment using Taguchi array [3] .Many resins and composites are used now days to meet the requirements of brake pad materials [5]. To have better wear resistance characteristics Aluminium based metal composites are used they shows better tribological properties [6].

The following work in this paper concentrates more on the material composition for brake pad and there wear rates. Pin on disc setup is used for performing experimental work to obtain wear rate, the result is analyzed using design expert 7 software, and the relation between various tests parameters are found in terms of a mathematical equation. the basic trend of effects of parameter like Normal load, Velocity of sliding and Sliding Distance on wear rate is interpreted in graphical form finally a comparison between four material compositions is made.

# IARJSET



International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2016

1.1 Parameters in Wear Testing:

 $\Box$  Load: Load is important factor when we consider m= Number of factors friction & wear. As we know, friction & wear is proportional to the applied load.

□ □ Sliding Velocity: When it's deal with friction and wear testing machine, it is very necessary to consider the sliding velocity of the specimen.

□ □ Sliding Distance: As we know, Sliding distance is directly proportional to wear rate.

1.2 Parameters to study:

□ Coefficient Of Friction: The coefficient of friction is •Study of friction behavior of Aluminium metal matrix generally depends on the Load, sliding speed. Material should possess low coefficient of friction.

or both of the contacting surfaces. Material should have improved wear resistance under load and permanent deformation.

### **II. EXPERIMENTAL TOOLS AND TECHNIQUES**

#### A. DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

It is methodology based on statistics and other discipline for arriving at an efficient and effective planning of experiments with a view to obtain valid conclusion from the analysis of experimental data. Design of experiments determines the pattern of observations to be made with a minimum of experimental efforts. More specifically, the use of orthogonal Arrays (OA) for DOE provides an efficient and effective method for determining the most significant factors and interactions in a given design problem.

#### **B. TAGUCHI METHOD**

As the number of factors considered at multi-levels increases, it becomes increasingly difficult to conduct the experiment with all treatment combinations. To reduce the B. Design of test runs: number of experiments to practical level, only a small set from all the possibilities is selected. The method of selecting a limited number of experiments, which produces the most information, is known as a practical fractional experiment, but there are no general guidelines for fractional experiments that cover many applications. This method uses a special set of arrays called orthogonal arrays. These standard arrays stipulate the way of conducting the minimal number of experiments, which could give the full information of all the factors that affect the performance parameter. The crux of the orthogonal arrays method lies in choosing the level combinations of the input design variables for each experiment. A full factorial design will identify all possible combinations for a given set of factors. If an experiment consist of m number of factors & each factor at levels X, then Number of trails possible is given by (Treatment Combination) =  $X^{m}$ .

#### C. A TYPICAL ORTHOGONAL ARRAY (OA)

While there are many standard orthogonal arrays available, each of the arrays is meant for a specific number of independent design variables and levels. Standard notation for orthogonal Arrays is, Ln (Xm) Where, n=Number of experiments to be conducted

X=Number of levels

#### **III.EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN PROCEDURE**

A. Statement of experimental problem:

Analysis using Pin-on-Disc wear and friction testing machine as shown in Fig.1 considering the following point.

with Silicon carbide.

•Study of wear rate of Aluminium metal matrix with □ Wear rate: Wear is the removal of material from either Silicon carbide material under different varying condition.



Fig.1. Pin on disc Test-Rig

To ensure the optimum interaction of all the parameters L9 (3<sup>4</sup>) Method of Taguchi Orthogonal array is used which have nine test runs, 3 levels of factors, and maximum 4 factors, we identified 3 factors. The assignments of levels to the different independent factors used in investigation and its coding and designations of materials are shown in Table I-III.

Table I: Assigning of Levels to the variable as Applicable to Pin on-Disc machine

Level→	Low	Medium	High
Load (Kg) A	2	3	4
Speed (RPM)B	500	800	1100
Sliding distance (m) C	2000	3000	4000
Code	-1	0	+1

Table II: Designation for aluminium metal matrix materials

Material	Composition in Wt.%
Ι	4.71% of SiC with Al MMT
II	7.82% of SiC with Al MMT
III	10.13% of SiC with Al MMT



Table III: Assigning of Levels to the Variable as Applicable Practically

Level→	Low	Medium	High
Load (kg) (A)	1	2	3
Velocity of Sliding (m/s) (B)	2.62	3.66	4.71
Sliding distance (m) (C)	1500	3000	4500
Code	-1	0	+1

### C. Selection of DOE:

Design of experiments (DOE) offers a systematic approach to study the effects of multiple variables or factors on products or process performance by providing a structural set of analysis in a design matrix.

### D. Performing the experiments:

Conducting the experiments as per the design matrix and recording the response parameters as shown in Table IV.

- E. Data analysis:
- F. Analysis of results and conclusions:
- G. Confirmation test:

To test the accuracy of the model the confirmation tests were performed.

The comparison of wear results from the mathematical model equation developed in the present work.

Table IV: Layout of L9 (34) Orthogonal	Array for	r
Experimentations		

Trial No.	Load (Kg)	Velocity (m/s)	SD (m)
1	1.5	2.62	2500
2	1.5	4.19	3500
3	1.5	5.76	4500
4	2.5	2.62	3500
5	2.5	4.19	4500
6	2.5	5.76	2500
7	3.5	2.62	4500
8	3.5	4.19	2500
9	3.5	5.76	3500

Гable	V:	Final	test	run	Design
	•••				200.0

Run	Load (kg)	Disc Speed (RPM)	Time (min)
1	1.5	500	12.73
2	1.5	800	11.93
3	1.5	1100	11.57
4	2.5	500	19.09
5	2.5	800	15.92
6	2.5	1100	5.78
7	3.5	500	25.46
8	3.5	800	7.95
9	3.5	1100	9.69

Run	Load (kg)	Sliding velocity (m/s)	Sliding distance (m)
1	1.5	2.62	2500
2	1.5	4.19	3500
3	1.5	5.76	4500
4	2.5	2.62	3500
5	2.5	4.19	4500
6	2.5	5.76	2500
7	3.5	2.62	4500
8	3.5	4.19	2500
9	3.5	5.76	3500

Table	VII:	Mathematical	Correlations
-------	------	--------------	--------------

Material	Wear equation
	Wear rate $\times 10^{-05}$ gm /m = 25.94082 -
	4.11927 × Load + 3.36786 × Sliding
	Velocity -0.013767 × Sliding Distance -
Ι	$1.92730 \times \text{Load} \times \text{Sliding Velocity}$
	$+3.90295 \times 10^{-03} \times \text{Load} \times \text{Sliding}$
	Distance +6.17590×10 <sup>-04</sup> × Sliding
	Velocity × Sliding Distance
	Wear rate $\times 10^{-05}$ gm/m =
	+11.6444691-2.5834 × Load+ 2.0762 ×
	Sliding Velocity -0.0054094 × Sliding
II	Distance -0.9775123 × Load × Sliding
	Velocity +0.00190386× Load × Sliding
	Distance + 0.00010283× Sliding
	Velocity × Sliding Distance
	Wear rate $\times 10^{-05}$ gm/m = -1.55764
	+1.589723 × Load+ 1.094404 × Sliding
	Velocity -0.00096 × Sliding Distance -
III	$0.52168 \times \text{Load} \times \text{Sliding Velocity}$
	+0.000283× Load × Sliding Distance –
	$1.79 \times 10^{-05} \times$ Sliding Velocity × Sliding
	Distance

#### Table VIII: Confirmation Test

Mət	L	Vr.	SD	Actual	Predicted	Variatio
orio				wear	wear	n
1	k	m/s	Km	(gm/m)	(gm/m)	%
1	g			X 10-5	X 10-5	
т	2	3.5	3	5.0103	4.873291	2.81142
1	3	5.0	4	5.5814	6.023476	-7.1732
п	2	3.5	3	3.7263	3.658968	1.84019
п	3	5.0	4	5.7124	5.645526	1.18454
ш	2	3.5	3	2.7035	2.771105	-2.43964
111	3	5.0	4	3.2486	3.262391	-0.42273

#### **IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

1) One can observe from Fig. 2-4, that as load and sliding distance increases wear of all material goes on increasing where as velocity of sliding increases wear of all material goes on decreasing.

2) It is observed from Table IX, that the wear of material III is less than material I and II and as percentage of SiC in



aluminium MMT increases, wear rate decreases.

3) Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Wear Rate is done for all three Material compositions and checked if the model is significant or not, when all models were significant the following list of effects showing percentage contribution of various parameters is shown in Table IX.



Fig 2: Wear v/s Load

Design-Expert® Software

Wear rate\*10^-5 gm/m



Fig 3: Wear v/s Velocity of sliding

Design-Expert® Software Wear rater10^-5 gm/m X1 = A: Material X2 = D: Slicing Distance (m) Actual Factors B: Load (kg) = 1.5 C: Slicing Velocity (m/s) = 2.62 Actual Factors B: Load (kg) = 1.5 C: Slicing Velocity (m/s) = 2.62 Actual Factors B: Load (kg) = 1.5 C: Slicing Velocity (m/s) = 2.62 Actual Factors B: Load (kg) = 1.5 C: Slicing Velocity (m/s) = 2.62 Actual Factors B: Load (kg) = 1.5 C: Slicing Velocity (m/s) = 2.62 Actual Factors B: Load (kg) = 1.5 C: Slicing Velocity (m/s) = 2.62 Actual Factors B: Load (kg) = 1.5 C: Slicing Velocity (m/s) = 2.62 B: Load (kg) = 1.5 C: Slicing Velocity (m/s) = 2.62 B: Load (kg) = 1.5 C: Slicing Velocity (m/s) = 2.62 B: Load (kg) = 1.5 C: Slicing Velocity (m/s) = 2.62 B: Load (kg) = 1.5 C: Slicing Velocity (m/s) = 2.62 B: Load (kg) = 1.5 C: Slicing Velocity (m/s) = 2.62 B: Load (kg) = 1.5 C: Slicing Velocity (m/s) = 2.62 B: Load (kg) = 1.5 C: Slicing Velocity (m/s) = 2.62 B: Load (kg) = 1.5 C: Slicing Velocity (m/s) = 2.62 B: Load (kg) = 1.5 C: Slicing Velocity (m/s) = 2.62 B: Load (kg) = 1.5 B: Load (kg) =

Table IX: Comparative wear data of all material

Material	Total Wear rate (I) in (gm/m)	Average Coefficient of friction (µ)
Ι	41.32x 10-5	0.2831
II	25.92x 10-5	0.2914
III	8.17x 10-5	0.3327

#### **V. CONCLUSION**

• Wear rate of material increase with the increase in normal load.

• Wear rate of material decreases with increase in sliding velocity.

• Wear rate of material increases with increase in the sliding distance.

• Increase in percentage of SiC in composition may lead to increase in wear resistance. Material I which has 4.71 % Carbon has highest wear rate amongst three. The percentage of Carbon in Material II is 7.82% and in material III is 10.13% by weight, and the wear rates decreases with increase in % of SiC respectively.

• From Confirmation test it is observed that the percentage of Variation is for wear is between 0.42 to 2.81% which tells that the mathematical model developed for all three materials is significant.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to express my sincere thanks and appreciation to Mechanical Department of S.S.V.P.S.'s B.S.Deore College of Engineering, Dhule for valuable support and kind co-operation during experimentation work.

Nomenclature		
MMT	Metal matrix	
SD	Sliding Distance	
DOE	Design of Experiment	
OA	Orthogonal Array	
Vr	Velocity of Sliding (m/s)	
Ι	Wear Rate (gm/m)	
μ	Coefficient of Friction	
μ	Coefficient of Friction	

#### REFERENCES

- Harshal P Deshmukh, Navneet K Patil, "Experimentation and Analysis of Three Different Compositions of Semi-Metallic Brake Pads for Wear Rate under Dry Friction Condition", International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT), Vol. 4 Issue 10, October- 2015.
- [2] Deepak Bagle, Sanjay Shekhawat, Jitendra Chaudhari, "Wear Analysis of Polytetrafluoroethylene and its Composites under Dry Conditions using Design-Expert", International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 3, Issue 1, January 2013 ISSN 2250-3153.
- [3] A. N. Tiwari, R Ramakrishnan "Composite material for automotive brakes", Powder Metallurgy Processing for Automotive, Electrical Electronic and Engineering Industry, New age international (P) Ltd, ISBN81-224-2030-3, 2007, pp. 86-102.
- [4] M. Ramesh, T. Karthikeyan, R. Arun, C. Kumaari, P. Krishnakumar and M. Mohankumar, "effects of applied pressure on the wear behavior of brake lining sliding against ferrous and nonferrous disc", International Journal of Advanced Mechanical

Fig 4: Wear v/s Sliding distance



Engineering, ISSN 2250-3234 Volume 4, Number 3 (2014), pp. 285-290.

- [4] Ashish D. Dhangar, R. J. Jani, Hiren Bagada, "An Experimental Investigation of Effect of Process Parameters on Wear of Semi Metallic Automotive Disc Brake Friction material", International Journal For Scientific Research & Development, vol.2, 03, 2014.
- [5] P.V. Gurunath, J. Bijwe, "Friction and wear studies on brake-pad materials", Wear 263 (2007) 1212–1219.
- [6] Mohammad. Asif, "Tribo-evaluation of Aluminium Based Metal Matrix Composites Used for Automobile Brake Pad Applications based on newly developed resin", Plastic and Polymer Technology (PAPT) Volume 1 Issue 1, December 2012, pp.9-14.
- [7] G. Cueva, A. Sinatora, W.L. Guesser, A.P. Tschiptschin, "Wear resistance of cast irons used in brake disc rotors", Wear 255 (2003), pp. 1256–1260.
- [8] K.Deepika1, C.Bhaskar Reddy2, D.Ramana Reddy3, "Fabrication and Performance Evaluation of a Composite Material for Wear Resistance Application", (IJESIT) Volume 2, Issue 6, November 2013
- [9] Pradnya Kosbel, Chittaranjan More, "PERFORMANCE OF A NAO FRICTION MATERIAL FOR DISC BRAKE", National Journal on Advances in Building Sciences and Mechanics, Vol. 1, No.2, October 2010
- [10] Pruthviraj R. D., "Wear characteristics of Chilled Zinc-Aluminium Alloy reinforced with Silicon Carbide Particulate composites", Vol. 1 (2) May (2011) Res.J.Chem.Sci.
- [11] S S Rattan, "Theory of Machines", Copyright ©2005, 1993, by Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Limited, Page 276-277, 300-304.
- [12] Sarvendra Kumar Mehra, "A review of experimental study of brake pad material", International Journal of Emerging Trends in Engineering and Development Issue 3, Vol.6 (November 2013)
- [13] Sridhar B S1, Raji George, "Synthesis of Silicon Carbide Based Composite Material for Industrial Applications", International Journal of Research in Advent Technology, Vol.2, No.9, September 2014 E-ISSN: 2321-9637